

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/920,435	08/01/2001	Yuriy M. Dunayevskiy	HKI-106AX	6450
207	7590 10/28/2002			
WEINGARTEN, SCHURGIN, GAGNEBIN & LEBOVICI LLP			EXAMINER	
TEN POST ( BOSTON, N	OFFICE SQUARE 1A 02109	BAKER, MAURIE GARCIA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1639	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/920,435

Applicant(s)

Dunayevskiy et al

Examiner

Maurie G. Baker, Ph.D.

Art Unit 1639



The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>ONE</u> MONTH(S) FROM
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no	event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the standard of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the analyse Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. pplication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action	n is non-final.
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance exclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	
Disposition of Claims	
4) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>1-22</u>	is/are pending in the applica
	is/are withdrawn from considera
5)  Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6)  Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
•	is/are objected to.
8) 🗓 Claims <u>1-22</u>	are subject to restriction and/or election requirem
Application Papers	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ard	e a͡) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	
	is: a approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to thi	s Office action.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:	
1.  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received.
2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have b	een received in Application No
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority docu application from the International Bureau (</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified in the certified copies of the priority document in the certified in the certified copies of the priority document in the certified in the</li></ol>	PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	
a)☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional a	_ , ,
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	
Attachment(s)	,
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) Other: .

Art Unit: 1639

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Please Note: In an effort to enhance communication with our customers and reduce processing time, Group 1639 is running a Fax Response Pilot for Written Restriction Requirements. A dedicated Fax machine is in place to receive your responses. The Fax number is 703-308-4315. A Fax cover sheet is attached to this Office Action for your convenience. We encourage your participation in this Pilot program. Thank you in advance for allowing us to enhance our customer service. Please limit the use of this dedicated Fax number to responses to Written Restrictions.

#### Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 (in part), 2-14, 21 and 22, drawn to a method of screening a natural sample *using a non-competitive assay*, classified variously, for example, class 436, subclass 541.
  - II. Claims 1 (in part) and 2-22, drawn to a method of screening a natural sample *using a competitive assay*, classified variously, for example, class 435, subclass 7.93.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 3. Groups I and II are drawn to different methods. The methods are different because they use different steps, require different reagents and/or will produce different results. They therefore have different issues regarding patentability and enablement and represent patentably distinct subject matter. In the instant case, each of the methods have different steps. The method of Group I does not require the step of "including a known competitive ligand" that is required for Group II. Furthermore, the method of Group II requires a different reagent than that of Group I (i.e. the "known competitive ligand").

Page 3

Serial Number: 09/920,435

Art Unit: 1639

4. Therefore, the groups that describe these methods have different issues regarding patentability and enablement, and represent patentably distinct subject matter, which merits separate and burdensome searches. Art anticipating or rendering obvious each of the above-identified groups respectively would not necessarily anticipate or render obvious another group, because they are drawn to different inventions that have different distinguishing features and/or characteristics. Each group could support a separate patent.

- 5. These inventions have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and/or divergent subject matter. The different methods would require completely different searches in both the patent and non-patent databases, and there is no expectation that the searches would be coextensive. Therefore, this does create an undue search burden, and restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species of the claimed invention for **both of Groups I and II**. Election is required as follows.
- 7. If applicant elects the invention of **Group I**, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Please elect one species from *each* subgroup below. Claim 1 is generic.

Art Unit: 1639

#### A. Species of first size-exclusion medium

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific first size-exclusion medium. Both the type of medium and the molecular weight cut-off should be indicated.

## B. Species of second size-exclusion medium

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific second size-exclusion medium. Both the type of medium and the molecular weight cut-off should be indicated.

## C. Species of analysis

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific type of analysis from those set forth in claim 22.

The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made and/or in their specific steps and elements needed for carrying them out. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

8. If applicant elects the invention of **Group II**, applicant is required to elect from the following patentably distinct species. Please elect one species from *each* subgroup below. Claim 15 is generic.

## A. Species of first size-exclusion medium

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific first size-exclusion medium. Both the type of medium and the molecular weight cut-off should be indicated.

#### B. Species of second size-exclusion medium

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific second size-exclusion medium. Both the type of medium and the molecular weight cut-off should be indicated.

#### C. Species of analysis

Applicant is required to elect, for purposes of search, a specific type of analysis from those set forth in claim 22.

The species are distinct, each from the other, because their structures and modes of action are different. They would also differ in their reactivity and the starting materials from which they are made and/or in their specific steps and elements

Art Unit: 1639

needed for carrying them out. Therefore, the species have different issues regarding patentability and represent patentably distinct subject matter.

- 9. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.
- 10. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and *a listing of all claims* readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.
- 11. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).
- 12. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the

Art Unit: 1639

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 13. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 14. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 15. Applicant is also reminded that a 1 month (not less than 30 days) shortened statutory period will be set for response when a written requirement is made without an action on the merits. This period may be extended under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Such action will not be an "action on the merits" for purposes of the second action final program, see MPEP 809.02(a).
- 16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

Art Unit: 1639

(703) 308-0065. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:00 to 6:30 and alternate Fridays.

17. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Wang, can be reached on (703) 306-3217. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4242. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Maurie Garcia Baker, Ph.D. October 24, 2002

MAURIE GARCIA BAKER, Ph.D. PATENT EXAMINER